EDRD 620: TEACHING READING AND WRITING IN FOREIGN/WORLD LANGUAGES IN PK-12 SCHOOLS Spring 2008 Thursday 7:20-10:00 PM

Melissa S. Ferro Graduate Lecturer Graduate School of Education George Mason University 4400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030 Office hours: <u>By Appointment</u> Tel 703.571.6830 (personal cell) GMU Email: <u>mferro@gmu.edu</u> Alternate Email: <u>melissasferro@gmail.com</u>

COURSE OUTLINE

A. Course Description: This course provides an introduction to the reading/writing processes in foreign/second languages, research on reading comprehension, and effective teaching and assessment approaches for students in K-12 schools. Among the topics addressed are: reading goals and standards for foreign language learning; socio-cultural perspectives on reading and writing; multimedia computer-assisted reading; interaction between reading and writing; research on teaching reading and writing strategies; effective reading skills and strategies; and performance-based assessments of reading and writing.

Course Materials and Texts:

Required

- Course Packet Reader Special Topics in Education, EDRD 620, Teaching Reading/Writing in Foreign/World Languages K-12. Available in the Fairfax campus bookstore.
- 2. CD Rom 50 Years of Northeast Conference Report Price = \$25 Make checks payable to (Northeast Conference on the Teaching of Foreign Languages) NECTFL
- 3. ACTFL Performance Guidelines K-12 Learners: Reading and Writing found at http://www.actfl.org
- 4. Subscribe (free!) On-line Journal, *Reading in a Foreign Language* found at <u>http://nflrc.hawaii.edu/rfl</u>
- 5. Teaching Foreign Languages (TFL) Library found at www.learner.org

Optional

- 1. Hall Haley, M. & Austin, T. (2004). Content-based second language teaching and learning: An interactive approach. Allyn & Bacon. Boston, MA.
- 2. Hall, J.K. (2001). Methods of teaching foreign languages. New Jersey: Merill/Prentice Hall.
- 3. Carrell, P. (1992). Interactive approaches to second language reading Cambridge University Press.

B. Course Outcomes: Students completing EDRD 620 will:

- 1. Be able to demonstrate ability to teach reading and writing in a foreign/second language using the reading goals and standards for K-12
- 2. Analyze recent research on the socio-cultural perspectives of reading/writing process for students in a foreign/second language
- 3. Describe developmental stages of reading/writing and suggest appropriate instructional techniques for each.
- 4. Use scaffolding approaches to teach pre-reading, during-reading, and post-reading strategies
- 5. Develop assessment activities for use in a foreign/second language reading/writing setting
- 6. Incorporate multimedia in computer assisted reading in foreign/second language classes

Students will be expected to use a personal computer for preparing course requirements and teaching materials and for engaging in <u>research</u> through the Internet.

Students are encouraged to attend any professional conferences in the Metro area.

C. Relationship to Program Goals and Professional Organizations

Course Student	ACTFL/NCATE	INTASC	
Outcomes	Standards	Principles	
(above)	Domain		
1	2c, 3b, 4a, 4b, 4c	P7	
2.	2a 3b	P3 P4	
З.	3a 3b	P2 P3	
4.	2c 3b 4a 4b 4c	P2 P3 P4 P6 P7	
5.	4b 4c 5a	P8	
6.	4c	P6	

Key: TESOL/NCATE Standards and Domains

INTASC = Standards for Licensing Beginning Teachers, where P = principles

1. *Knowledge base for teaching in the foreign/ second language classroom*. Learn the fundamental concepts of the knowledge base pertaining to ESL, English as a second dialect, and the teaching of other second and foreign languages; second language acquisition, psycholinguistics, sociolinguistics, pragmatics, and language pedagogy.

2. *Utilization of research*. EDRD 620 students should be able to understand and critically evaluate second language learning theories and engage in systematic investigation of the knowledge base to inform their own or others teaching practices.

3. *Classroom teaching*. EDRD 620 students should be able to plan and manage a second language class effectively and be able to evaluate and selectively apply a range of teaching strategies as appropriate to their student's needs and characteristics. For this purpose, they will need to be able to assess different teaching situations and changing conditions in culturally and linguistically diverse classrooms, so as to select appropriate teaching procedures and adapt to teaching students with differing learning styles and cultural backgrounds.

4. *Curriculum*. Students will develop the skills needed to design and implement foreign/second language teaching strategies.

The *EDRD 620* relationship to National and State Standards include:

- The American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages Standards for Pre-K-12 Students
- Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC) Standards
- National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS)
- Virginia Foreign Language Standards of Learning (SOLs)

The EDRD 620 relationship to professional organizations include:

EDRD 620 follows the guidelines and recommendations made by the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL), the National Association for Bilingual Education (NABE), and the National Association for Multicultural Education (NAME). ACTFL along with NABE and NAME, are the largest professional organizations that specialize in research on working with culturally and linguistically diverse populations. They embrace and celebrate cultural and ethnic diversity as a national strength that enriches a society and rejects the view that diversity threatens the fabric of a society. NABE and ACTFL have the more specialized mission of developing the expertise of their members and other educators involved in teaching world languages, to help them foster an effective learning environment while accomplishing the goal of effective communication in diverse settings and oral and written language proficiency while respecting individuals' rights and needs.

D. Course Delivery:

This course is highly interactive by design. It is predicated upon *learning by doing* and *discovery learning*. Assessment is based on performance-based assignments. This class will be engaged in cooperative learning, small group discussions, whole class discussions, peer feedback, short lectures, student-led micro teaching simulations, guest speakers, peer feedback, videos, multimedia, and reflection. Two options are offered on the mid term and final projects. Students are encouraged to select a project that has particular relevance to their own respective teaching circumstance. Rubrics are provided for the teaching simulation and midterm and final projects.

Students with Special Needs:

Students with disabilities that affect their ability to participate fully in the course or who require special accommodations are encourage to speak with the instructor so that appropriate accommodations might be arranged.

Students will be expected to...

- 1. Attend <u>all</u> class sessions, <u>arriving on time</u>, and actively participate during large and small group discussions and activities.
- 2. Complete all assignments on time and be aware that points will be taken off assignments that are submitted past the deadline.
- 3. Purchase a three-ring binder which will be used to organize all course materials and should be brought to class every week.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS	POINT VALUE	DUE DATE
1. Class Preparation and Participation	10 points	On-going
2. WebQuest Presentation	15 points	2/14/08
3. In-Class Teaching Demonstration	25 points	Refer to sign up sheet
4. Mid Term Project	25 points	4/03/08
5. Final Project	25 points	5/01/08

• Using the designated ratings scale, requirements 1-5 will be added to calculate a final course grade.

GRADE	SCORE
Α	93.0-100 points
A-	90.0-92.9 points
B+	88.0-89.9 points
В	83.0-87.9 points
B- or	
below	

Useful Foreign/Second Language Websites

American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) www.actfl.org Northeast Conference on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (NECTFL) www.nectfl.org National Capital Language Resource Center (NCLRC) <u>nclrc@nicom.com</u> International Reading Association <u>http://www.gsh.org/ira</u> Fairfax County Public Schools (Foreign Languages) <u>http://www.fcps.edu/DIS/OHSICS/forlang/</u>

COURSE SCHEDULE

INTASC Standards: Principles #1, 2, 4, and 7 ACTFL/NCATE 2a, 2b, 2c

Jan 24

Week # 1 – Introductions and course overview. Reading partners. Purposes for reading. <u>Pre-reading Strategies</u> (K-W-L, Anticipation Guide, SQ3R, Graphic organizers/ Inspiration & KidsSpiration). Professional organizations. // Complete Surveys & Questionnaires.

Assignment:

--Subscribe to electronic journal, *Reading in a Foreign Language* (p. 1 of syllabus) --Preview WebQuest at: <u>http://mason.gmu.edu/~mferro/edrd620webquest/</u>

Article # 2: (Arens & Swaffar), Reading Goals and the Standards for Foreign Language Learning

INTASC = P #7 ACTFL/NCATE 4a, 4b, 4c

Jan 31

Week # 2 – Reading Goals and National Standards. <u>During-reading Strategies</u> (Predicting, Drawing pictures, Skipping unknown words). Sample Pre-Reading activity. //Recap Needs Analysis

STUDENTS MUST SIGN UP FOR WEBQUEST GROUPS.

Assignment:

Work on WebQuest Projects

Article #3: (Bamford & Day), Teaching Reading

INTASC = P#2, 3, 4 ACTFL/NCATE 3a, 3b

Feb 7

Week # 3 – Teaching Reading // <u>Post-reading Strategies</u> (Reading Response Logs, Anticipation Guides, Literacy Scaffolds, Semantic Mapping, Think Aloud, Read Aloud, Echo Reading, Guided Reading, Silent Sustained Reading) STUDENTS MUST SIGN UP FOR TEACHING DEMOS

Assignment:

--Complete WebQuest Projects—Finalize Presentations

--Search NECTFL CD-Rom for 2 articles on "Reading Strategies." Prepare to discuss. **Article #4:** (Rusciolelli), Student Responses to Reading Strategies Instruction

INTASC = P#2, 3, 4 ACTFL/NCATE 3a, 3b

Feb 14

Week # 4 – Reading Strategies Discussion WEBQUEST PRESENATIONS TONIGHT

Assignment:

Article # 8: (Day & Bamford) Top 10 Principles for Teaching Extensive Reading Article# 13: (Barnett & Jarvis-Sladky) Teaching and Reaching All Learners

INTASC = P#2, 3, 4 ACTFL/NCATE 3a, 3b

Feb 21

Week # 5 - Authentic Tasks for Diverse Learners – Intensive Reading, Extensive Reading, Skimming, Scanning, Bottom-up, Top-down, The Take-Five Model // Extensive Reading

IN-CLASS TEACHING DEMONSTRATIONS: Pre-Reading Strategies

Assignment

Article # 1: (Sangrene-Granville) African Folktales: 5 Techniques

INTASC = P#2,3,4 ACTFL/NCATE 2a

Feb 28

Week # 6 - Using Manipulatives for Teaching Reading and Writing IN-CLASS TEACHING DEMONSTRATIONS: During-Reading Strategies GUEST SPEAKER: Cecilia Abare, PWCS

Assignment:

Search NECTFL CD-Rom for 2 articles on "Using Authentic Materials to Teach Reading." Prepare to discuss.

Article # 9: (Adair-Hauck & Donato) The PACE Model

INTASC = P#2,3,4 ACTFL/NCATE 2a, 2b, 2c

Mar 6

Week #7 – A Story-based Approach // Organizing Content and Planning Lessons //Using Authentic Materials

IN-CLASS TEACHING DEMONSTRATION – Post-Reading Strategies

Assignment:

Visit Dr. Haley's Multiple Intelligences Research Studies (MIRS) web site at <u>http://gse.gmu.edu/research/mirs/</u> Prepare to discuss. (Don't forget mid-term projects!)

Mar 13

Week # 8 – No Class! Spring Break! (Work on mid-term projects--due April 3rd!)

INTASC = P#2, 3 ACTFL/NCATE 3b

Mar 20

Week # 9—Incorporating The Theory of Multiple Intelligences in Reading and Writing // Mid-term Pulse Checks

IN-CLASS TEACHING DEMONSTRATION-- Multimedia Computer-Assisted Reading and Writing

Assignment:

Search NECTFL CD-Rom for 2 Articles on Technology and Teaching Reading. Prepare to discuss.

Article # 14: (MacDonald) A Touch of Class: Internet Technology and Second/Foreign Language Education

Article # 11 (Shen) The role of explicit instruction in ESL/EFL reading

INTASC = P#2, 3 ACTFL/NCATE 3b

Mar 27

Week # 10 – Reading and Writing for Meaning / Using Technology to Enhance Meaning

GUEST SPEAKER: Magda Cabrera, FCPS

Assignment:

Article # 10 (Gascoigne) Documenting the initial second language reading experience: The readers speak

Mid-term Projects Due Next Week!

INTASC = P# 1,2,3,4 ACTFL/NCATE 1b, 3a

Apr 3

Week # 11—Preview sociocultural perspectives // MID-TERM PROJECT SHOWCASE TONIGHT

Assignment:

Article # 6: (Vollmer) Sociocultural Perspectives on Second Language Writing

INTASC = P#1,2,3 ACTFL/NCATE 1b, 3a

Apr 10

Week #12—Story-based Approach to Teaching Grammar – Pre, During, and Post reading support

IN-CLASS TEACHING DEMONSTRATION: Using Authentic Materials/Realia

Assignment:

Search NECTFL CD-Rom for 2 articles on "Performance-based Assessments of Reading/Writing." Prepare to discuss.

Article # 7: (Olivares-Cuhat) Learning strategies and achievement in the Spanish writing classroom: A case study

INTASC = P#8 ACTFL/NCATE 5a, 5b, 5c

Apr 17

Week #13 - Performance-based Assessment of Reading and Writing GUEST SPEAKER: Dr. Rebecca Fox, GMU

Assignment:

Article #12: (Byrd) Practical tips for peer editing tasks

Article # 5: (Gascoigne) Reviewing reading: Recommendations versus reality

INTASC = P#8 ACTFL/NCATE 5a, 5b, 5c

Apr 24

Week # 14 – Preview of Final Projects / Peer Feedback

IN-CLASS TEACHING DEMONSTRATION: Performance-Based Assessments

Assignment: Work on Final Project

May 1

Week # 15 – FINAL PROJECT PRESENTATION SHOWCASE // Evaluations

EDRD 620: WEBQUEST PROJECT AND PRESENTATION Due February 14, 2008 / Instructor Ferro http://mason.gmu.edu/~mferro/edrd620webquest

A Web What?

A WebQuest is an online lesson that includes specific tasks, processes, resources, a measure of evaluation, and a conclusion. It can be either a short lesson designed to help learners acquire new knowledge or a longer lesson that asks learners to use newly acquired knowledge to analyze, synthesize and evaluate their own learning. Although WebQuests can be done by individual students, most are designed for discovery learning in small groups. There are two important features that distinguish WebQuests from traditional research assignments. The tasks simulate real-life situations and the information needed to complete the tasks is predominantly obtained from internet resources.

Two Reasons for the Webquest Project in EDRD 620:

First, through discovery learning, you will learn how to use a WebQuest as a pedagogical tool. As you proceed through the WebQuest, think about the tasks, processes, resources, and evaluations that are included. How might the activities appeal to the cognitive, linguistic, and cultural diversity of today's language learners? How might you be able to use something similar in your own teaching?

Second, you will enhance your knowledge of the major topics in this course by completing a jigsaw activity. With jigsaw activities, each small group is asked to investigate a specific topic (a piece of the jigsaw) in order to become "the experts." Once the group has completed its investigation, the members will report their findings in a 20-minute Power Point presentation. As the groups shares their findings, the pieces of the jigsaw are put in place to form "the whole puzzle" of EDRD 620.

Getting Started:

The WebQuest for EDRD 620 is a self-contained lesson (including the rubric) available online at <u>http://mason.gmu.edu/~mferro/edrd620webquest</u> Once you are assigned to a group, you should follow the instructions on the WebQuest for completing your group's specific tasks.

Groups: On the first night of class, you will complete the Needs Assessment Survey (page 22 of syllabus). Based on the information gathered on the Needs Assessment, your instructor will help you form the WebQuest groups during the second class. *Please do not change groups without first consulting your instructor*.

Presentations: Each group will present a **20-minute PowerPoint presentation** on **February 14, 2008.** Although the presentation is a group effort, each student will receive an individual grade. See the WebQuest for all the details and the grading rubric.

EDRD 620: GUIDELINES FOR TEACHING IN-CLASS DEMONSTRATIONS Students <u>Must</u> Sign-up for Topic and Date (Week 3)

- Prepare a lesson plan using page one of the template provided (page 11 of syllabus). This is to be distributed in class as part of your handout. <u>Page two of the lesson plan—the reflective phase—(page</u> <u>12 of syllabus) is to be completed after your teaching simulation and submitted to your instructor the following week.</u> Your lesson plan should be based on information gained from at least three articles on *teaching reading and writing in foreign/second languages* that you have read, in addition to the short readings from our weekly assignments.
- 2. You may work with *one classmate* for your teaching demonstration. Plan your time carefully. You have a **maximum of 20 minutes to deliver your instruction**. In the case of two presenters, this time should be shared equally. You may take another 5 minutes to provide background information about the activity and we will take 5 minutes at the end of your demonstration to give you feedback and ask questions.
- 3. The demonstration should include:
 - Background information about the method/skill/strategy
 - The type of class your particular demonstration is intended for, e.g., level of proficiency, grade level, type of class, etc.
 - Skills you are teaching and basic objectives of the lesson
 - Special teacher-made materials; props and realia are <u>strongly</u> encouraged (Please do not use complete lessons copied from a text-book)
 - Possible follow-up activities to the lesson you have presented
 - How you might conduct assessment of the lesson you have presented, if appropriate
 - Others you can think of....

4. **<u>BE CREATIVE!</u>**

- 5. Spend less time talking about the method/skill/strategy and more time demonstrating it. You may decide to introduce your lesson by describing the method first or you may wish to immerse us in the experience and explain after the demonstration.
- 6. We will be your students. You may assign us whatever roles and ages you wish.
- 7. Prepare a handout for the class in addition to your lesson plan. The handout can be short. It might be a summary of some points you have made or it might be sharing something from the lesson you have developed that class members might like to use in their own teaching.

EVALUATION of your teaching demonstration will be based upon the following criteria:

- □ Quality of the complete lesson plan
- Engagement and Effectiveness of Segment Presented
- □ Language proficiency and simulation done in target language
- □ Effective Use of Time
- **□** Evidence of Preparation (3 articles referenced) and Reflection (second page of lesson plan)
- **Creativity (in lesson design and segment presentation)**
- □ Accurate Summary
- Useful Handout

ropies and	a orgin-op for in-olass reaching bemonstrations
Feb 21 – Week # 5	(1) Pre-Reading Strategies Focus
	a
	b
Feb 28 Week # 6	(2) During-Reading Strategies
	a
	b
Mar 6 – Week # 7	(3) Post-Reading Strategies
	a
	b
Mar 20 – Week # 9	(4) Multimedia Computer-Assisted Reading & Writing
	a
	b
	c
Apr 10 – Week # 12	(5) Using Authentic Materials/Realia
	a
	b
Apr 24 – Week # 14	(6) Performance-Based Assessments
	a
	b

Topics and Sign-Up for In-Class Teaching Demonstrations

EDRD 620: SAMPLE LESSON PLAN FOREIGN/WORLD LANGUAGES

Teacher		School	
Grade(s)	Language(s)	L	evel(s)
Date	Number of Students	T	'ime

PLANNING PHASE

1. Performance/Task-based Objectives:

2. Standards:

National: State: Local:

TEACHING PHASE

1. Preparation

- Lesson Outline
- Theme or Topic: Vocabulary: Verb(s): Grammatical structure(s): Cultural perspectives: Listening/Reading/Viewing selection(s): Materials: Technology: Warm-up Activity:

2. Presentation and Practice

Three Modes:

Interpersonal Activities: Presentational Activities: Interpretive Activities:

Methods/Approaches/Strategies:

3. Evaluation / Assessments

4. Expansion / Extension

5. Other:

Homework: Closure: Follow-up:

EDRD 620: SAMPLE LESSON PLAN FOREIGN/WORLD LANGUAGES

(Continued...)

REFLECTION PHASE

Efforts to Accommodate: Visual learners: Auditory learners: Kinesthetic learners: Specials needs learners (Disabled and/or Gifted): Heritage/Native speakers:

What worked well?

What didn't work well?

What will you do differently as a result of this plan?

How might this lesson be improved?

One important thing I learned was:

Created by: Dr. Marjorie Hall Haley - 2001 - George Mason University Revised by: Melissa S. Ferro – 2008 – George Mason University

EDRD 620: Teaching Reading and Writing in Foreign/World Languages Teaching Demonstration Checklist

Presenter(s):_____

Strategy/Theme _____

Date_____

2.5 =Excellent	.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5
.5 =Poor					
Quality of Lesson					
Plan					
Handout and					
Summary True to					
Strategy/Theme					
Target Language					
Proficiency					
Evidence of					
Preparation					
Use of Teacher-					
Developed					
Materials					
Flexibility in					
Response to					
Students					
Efficient Use of					
Time					
Class rapport,					
warmth,					
enthusiasm					
Creativity of					
design and					
presentation					
Quality/Usefulness					
of Lesson					
Handout(s)					

Comments:

Recommendations:

EDRD 620: GUIDELINES FOR MID-TERM PROJECT Resources for Teaching Reading and Writing in Foreign/World Language Classrooms Due April 3, 2008 / Instructor Ferro

Option A: Reading Library

Objectives:

- 1. To identify and evaluate materials and resources from --print, software, and the Internetfor teaching reading and writing in foreign/second language classrooms.
- 2. To search for materials of particular interest to you in the areas of teaching reading and writing in order to gain a greater appreciation for the breadth and depth of available resources and how they reflect current practices and research.

Task:

To create a library of materials for increasing the reading comprehension and writing proficiency of a particular student population specific to age, grade, and level of language being studied.

Choose a grade or level from one of the following categories that interests you:

- Elementary FLES or Immersion (grades 3-6)
- Middle School Immersion or Level 1
- High School Levels 1-5, AP, IB

What to do:

- 1. Identify five (5) resources for the library. Make sure you have a balanced distribution across print materials, computer software, and Internet web sites. Look for a variety of resources, not just in the fields of education and foreign languages. Look for materials that can help you increase the reading and writing abilities of students in the grade or level you chose. You may consider both fiction and non-fiction print materials.
- 2. Examine the resources as to their effectiveness and appropriateness in terms of content, language, language level, culture, and student interest potential.
- 3. Prepare a written report (**5-page minimum, double-spaced, 12pt font**), on your findings, grouping print, software, and web materials. Provide an introductory section describing the specific purpose of your resource search: For which grade or level was the library intended? What were the primary goals and/or objectives for assembling this library? Type each source's name and publication information in boldface as the subheading to the paragraph where you will review it.
- 4. Cite **at least two assigned readings** to support your evaluation of the resources.
- 5. Provide a one paragraph summary that synthesizes what you have learned from this assignment.
- 6. Attach sample pages from the resources that provide evidence for your evaluation.
- 7. Include a **References** page of assigned readings to which you referred in your report as well as a complete list of materials reviewed.

Option B: Technology Project

Using Technology to Enhance the Teaching of Reading and Writing in the Foreign/Second Language Classroom

Videotape, HyperStudio, Multimedia PowerPoint, WebQuest, Blog, Wiki or Other Technology-based Project

- 1. Prepare a 30-minute videotape, electronic game/activity book, WebQuest, Blog, Wiki, Multimedia PowerPoint slide presentation, or other type of technology-based project on a course outline topic of your choice and apply it to a teaching reading and/or writing in the foreign/second language classroom setting. The purpose of the project is to focus on one topic and inform teachers about its implications for teaching.
- 2. Describe the teacher/student population and their needs (age, grade, and language level).
- 3. Prepare an informative printed guide to your product to help a novice use it.
- 4. Make reference to at least two (2) of the course articles to add support to this project.
- 5. Submit your project on both CD and in paper format.
- 6. Mid-term projects are due on or before **April 3, 2008.**

Analytic Scoring Rubric – Mid Term Project Option A Reading Library EDRD 620 – Spring 2008

EDRD 620 – Spring 2008				
Accomplished Strongly meets Expectations. Clear, Consistent, and Convincing Evidence	Developing: Meets Expectations Adequately. Clear Evidence	Beginning: Does not adequately meeting Expectations Limited Evidence	No Evidence Little or No Evidence	
23-25 points A	19-22 points B	16-18 points C	13-15 point F	
		ent of task	*	
Clearly and concisely identifies and critiques curriculum materials and resources for teaching reading and writing in world languages	Identifies and critiques curriculum materials and resources for teaching reading and writing in world languages	Partially identifies and critiques curriculum materials and resources for teaching reading and writing in world languages	Does not identify and/or critique curriculum materials and resources for teaching reading and writing in world languages	
	Completion of ta	ask requirements		
Selects no fewer than 5 sources that represent a wide variety of print, software, and internet materials	Selects 5 sources of materials. Sources may not represent a wide variety of print, software, and internet materials	Selects less than 5 sources of materials that may not represent a wide variety of print, software, and internet materials	Does not select 5 sources of materials Does not include one page from each source that supports evaluation	
Includes sample pages from each source that support evaluation	Includes one sample page from each source that supports evaluation	Does not include one page from each source, or pages may not support evaluation ulness of materials selected	supports evaluation	
Locates materials	Locates materials	Locates some materials	Does not locate materials	
pertaining to preK-12 student populations	pertaining to preK-12 student populations	pertaining to preK-12 student populations	pertaining to preK-12 student populations	
Clearly and concisely identifies age, grade and language proficiency appropriateness	Partially identifies age, grade and language proficiency appropriateness	Identifies only age, grade or language proficiency appropriateness	Does not identify any age, grade or language proficiency appropriateness	
Clearly and concisely mentions usefulness and limitations of materials (i.e. for whom, age, level of proficiency, grade, etc.)	Partially mentions usefulness and limitations of materials (i.e. for whom, age, level of proficiency, grade, etc.)	Mentions only one or no aspects of usefulness and limitations of materials (i.e. for whom, age, level of proficiency, grade, etc.)	Does not mention usefulness and limitations of materials (i.e. for whom, age, level of proficiency, grade, etc.)	
Writes a minimum 5-page	Writes a 5-page analysis of	Writes less than a 5-page	Does not write 5-page	
analysis of findings that includes purpose of library and synthesis of what was learned.	findings that may not include purpose of library and synthesis of what was learned.	analysis of findings that may not include purpose of library and synthesis of what was learned.	analysis of findings. Does not include purpose of library and synthesis of what was learned.	
Makes reference to course readings to support analysis.	Makes partial references to course readings to support analysis.	Makes no reference to course readings to support analysis.	Makes no reference to course readings to support analysis.	

Student name:_____

Score:_____

Analytic Scoring Rubric – Mid Term Project Option B Technology Project EDRD 620– Spring 2008

Accomplished	Developing:	Beginning:	No Evidence
Strongly meets	Meets Expectations	Does not adequately	Little or No Evidence
Expectations. Clear,	Adequately.	meeting Expectations	Little of No Evidence
Consistent, and Convincing	Clear Evidence	Limited Evidence	
Evidence			
23-25 points	19-22 points	16-18 points	13-15 point
Α	В	С	F
		ent of task	
Prepares a 30 minute	Prepares a 30 minute	Prepares a technology-	Does not prepare a 30
technology-based project	technology-based project	based project that is less	minute technology-based
that applies to reading and	that applies to reading and	than 30 minutes and/or	project that applies to
writing in world languages	writing in world languages	may not apply to reading	reading and writing in
and a user-guide intended	and a user-guide that may	and writing in world	world languages and does
for a novice user	not be intended for a	languages. The user-guide	not complete a user-guide
	novice user	may be incomplete and/or	intended for a novice user
		not intended for a novice	
		user	
	Completion of ta	ask requirements	
Project is based on a	Project is partially based	Project is not based on a	Project is not based on a
course topic for teaching	on a course topic for	course topic for teaching	course topic for teaching of
reading and writing in	teaching of reading and	of reading and writing in	reading and writing in
world languages	writing in world languages	world languages	world languages
Describes the	Partially describes the	Does not clearly describe	Does not describe the
teacher/student population	teacher/student population	the teacher/student	teacher/student population
and their needs	and their needs	population and their needs	and their needs.
Submits project on both	Submits project on both	Submits project on either	Does not submit project on
CD and in paper format	CD and in paper format	CD or paper format	either CD or paper format
		lness of materials developed	
Technology is appropriate	Technology is mostly	Technology is partially	Technology is not
for teacher/ student	appropriate for	appropriate for	appropriate for
population	teacher/student population	teacher/student population	teacher/student population
	-	lysis	
Prepares a printed guide of	Partially prepares a printed	Partially prepares a printed	Does not prepare a printed
the product to help a	guide of the product to	guide of the product to	guide of the product to help
novice use it	help a novice use it	help a novice use it	a novice use it
		D	
Refers to course readings	Partially refers to course	Does not refer to course	Does not refer to course
to add support to project	readings to add support to	readings to add support to	readings to add support to
	project	project	project

Student name:_____

Score:_____

Comments/Feedback:

EDRD 620 GUIDELINES FOR FINAL PROJECT Due May 1, 2008 / Instructor Ferro

Option A: Field Project Field Project on Reading and Writing in the Foreign/World Language Classroom

Objectives and Tasks:

You will conduct a Field Project in a classroom setting. You are to design and pilot test a reading and/or writing activity. Your project may focus on any of the teaching approaches/skills/strategies we have covered during this course. You will pilot test your activity by trying it out in an actual classroom setting. You will submit a brief, written report on this experience with lessons learned and suggestions for revising your reading/writing activity as well as a complete lesson plan that embeds your activity.

Preparing the written report:

In writing up the field project, please be sure to address the following topics:

How did you implement the reading/writing activity? With whom? Your self-assessment and reflections on improvement should be the longest section of your report. Include examples of student handouts or teacher materials such as overhead transparencies or PowerPoint presentations. Your paper should be no more than three (3) double-spaced (12 pt font) pages in addition to your complete lesson plan.

Option B: Activity Packet *Reading and Writing Activity Packet*

Objectives and Tasks:

Develop four (4) original (not photocopied from another source), never-before used instructional and assessment activities for teaching reading and/or writing in a foreign/world language classroom. At least one activity must be technology-based. Describe students, their learning needs, the instructional objectives, and the educational setting. Aim for breadth rather than depth. Base design of materials on assigned class readings *and* readings from the WebQuest Presentations and/or NECTFL CD-Rom. Rather than present detailed lesson plans, provide a research-based rationale and a <u>narrative description</u> of each activity. The narrative should describe the content of the materials/activities and how these materials/activities will be used in a classroom setting. Include a **Reference Page** of the assigned readings to which you referred in your narrative.

Analytic Scoring Rubric – Final Project Option A Field Project EDRD 620– Spring 2008

Accomplished	Developing:	Beginning:	No Evidence
Strongly meets	Meets Expectations	Does not adequately	Little or No Evidence
Expectations. Clear,	Adequately.	meeting Expectations	
Consistent, and Convincing Evidence	Clear Evidence	Limited Evidence	
23-25 points	19-22 points	16-18 points	13-15 point
Å	B	Ċ	F
	Fulfillme	ent of task	
Designs, implements, and	Designs, implements, and	Partially designs,	Does not design,
reflects upon a pilot test of	partially reflects upon a	implements, and/or reflects	implement, and reflect
a reading and writing	pilot test of a reading and	upon a pilot test of a	upon a pilot test of a
activity that highlights	writing activity that	reading and writing	reading and writing activity
approaches/skills/strategies	highlights	activity that highlights	that highlights
covered in the course	approaches/skills/strategies	approaches/skills/strategies	approaches/skills/strategies
	covered in the course	covered in the course	covered in the course
		ask requirements	
Includes a complete lesson	Includes a mostly	Prepares an incomplete	Does not prepare a lesson
plan based on the template	complete lesson plan based	lesson plan that may not be	plan based on the template
used in this course	on the template used in	based on the template used	used in this course
	this course	in this course	
Prepares a field report that			Does not prepare a field
includes a description of	Prepares a field report that	Prepares a field report that	report that includes a
the activity's	includes a description of	includes a partial	description of the activity's
implementation and a	the activity's	description of the	implementation and a
reflective self-assessment	implementation and a	activity's implementation	reflective self-assessment
	reflective self-assessment	and/or a partial reflective	
Includes copies of teaching		self-assessment	Does not include copies of
materials (handouts,	May not include copies of		teaching materials
overheads, etc.)	teaching materials	May not include copies of	(handouts, overheads, etc.)
	(handouts, overheads, etc.)	teaching materials	
		(handouts, overheads, etc.)	
		s of activity used	
Clearly and concisely	Partially identifies age,	Identifies only age, grade or	Does not identify any age,
identifies age, grade and	grade and language	language proficiency	grade or language
language proficiency	proficiency	appropriateness of activity	proficiency
appropriateness of activity	appropriateness of activity		appropriateness of activity
		lysis	
Field report emphasizes a	Field report emphasizes a	Field report does not	Field report does not
reflective self-assessment	reflective self-assessment	emphasize a self-	emphasize a self-
and recommendations for	and recommendations for	assessment and /or	assessment and
improving the activity	improving the activity	recommendations for	recommendations for
		improving the activity	improving the activity
Refers to course topics to	Partially refers to course		
add support to field report	topics to add support to	May not refer to course	Does not refer to course
	field report	topics to add support to	topics to add support field
		field report	report

Student name:_____

Score:_____

Analytic Scoring Rubric – Final Project Option B Reading and Writing Activity Packet EDRD 620– Spring 2008

Accomplished Strongly meets Expectations. Clear, Consistent, and Convincing Evidence	Developing: Meets Expectations Adequately. Clear Evidence	Beginning: Does not adequately meeting Expectations Limited Evidence	No Evidence Little or No Evidence
23-25 points A	19-22 points B	16-18 points C	13-15 point F
	Fulfillme	ent of task	
Develops and describes	Develops and partially	Develops and describes	Does not develop and
four exemplary research-	describes four research-	less than four research-	describe four research-
based, original, never	based, original, never	based, original, never	based, original, never
before used, instructional	before used, instructional	before used, instructional	before used, instructional
and assessment activities	and assessment activities	and assessment activities	and assessment activities
for teaching reading and	for teaching reading and	for teaching reading and	for teaching reading and
writing in world languages	writing in world languages	writing in world languages	writing in world languages
		ask requirements	
Packet represents a broad	Packet represents a broad	Packet may not represent a	Packet does not represent a
range of reading and	range of reading and	broad range of reading and	broad range of reading and
writing activities that	writing activities but may	writing activities and may	writing activities and does
includes at least one	not include one	not include one	not include one
technology-based activity	technology-based activity	technology-based activity	technology-based activity
Describes students, their	Partially describes	Does not clearly describe	Does not describe
learning needs,	students, learning needs,	students, learning needs,	students, learning needs,
instructional objectives,	instructional objectives,	instructional objectives,	instructional objectives,
and educational setting	and educational setting	and educational setting	and educational setting
		materials developed	
Clearly and concisely	Partially identifies age,	Identifies only age, grade or	Does not identify any age,
identifies age, grade and	grade and language	language proficiency	grade or language
language proficiency	proficiency	appropriateness of activities	proficiency
appropriateness of each	appropriateness of		appropriateness of
activity	activities		activities
		lysis	-
Prepares a research-based	Partially prepares a	Partially prepares a	Does not prepare a
rational and narrative	research-based rational	rational and narrative	research-based rational and
description of each activity	and narrative description	description of each activity	narrative description of
Refers to course readings	of each activity	that may not be based on research	each activity
and topics to add support	Partially refers to course		Does not refer to course
to project	readings and topics to add	May not refer to course	readings and topics to add
p	support to project	readings and topics to add	support to project
	11 ··· F ·J ···	support to project	11 ··· F ·J ···

Student name:_____

Score:_____

Comments/Feedback:

Analytic Scoring Rubric – Preparation and Participation EDRD 620– Spring 2008

Accomplished Strongly meets Expectations. Clear, Consistent, and Convincing Evidence 10-9 points	Developing: Meets Expectations Adequately. Clear Evidence 8 points	Beginning: Does not adequately meeting Expectations Limited Evidence 7 points	No Evidence Little or No Evidence 0-6 points
A	B	C	F
	Class Att	endance	
Attends all classes or misses (1) class, arriving on time	Misses (2) classes. Arrives late.	Misses (3) classes. Arrives late.	Misses more than (3) classes. Has (3) or more late arrivals.
	Home	work	•
Completes all assignments on time	Completes most assignments on time	Completes few assignments on time	Does not complete assignments on time
	Partici		
Engages in meaningful class discussions	Engages in class discussions	Rarely engages in class discussion	Does not engage in class discussions
Participates actively in class activities	Participates in most class activities	Rarely participates in class activities	Does not participate in class activities
Provides constructive feedback to class members	Provides some constructive feedback to class members	Rarely provides constructive feedback to class members	Does not provide constructive feedback to class members

Student:_____

Score:_____

Comments/Feedback:

EDRD 620 / Spring 2008 Needs Assessment Survey

The purpose of this needs assessment is to determine what you already know about teaching reading and writing in a second language, what you would like to know by the end of this course, and how the instructor can best help you achieve your learning goals for EDRD 620.

1. Please provide the following personal Information:

- a. Name: _____
- b. Language(s) for Certification:
- c. Circle one: Pre-service / In-service / Other (please specify)

2. Please rate the following items according to the chart:

4 = Very familiar.	3 = Familiar.	2 = Somewhat Familiar	1 = Not Familiar
I know more than	I know basic	I have heard of them but I still	I have never heard
basic descriptions.	descriptions.	need to learn the basics.	of them before

<u>Topic</u> :	Rating
1. The National Standards of Language Learning (the 5Cs)	
2. Reading Strategies	
3. Writing Strategies	
4. Performance-based Assessments	
5. Please rate the following technologies:	
a. Blogs	
b. Wikis (such as Wikipedia)	
c. WebQuests	
d. Other Multimedia—please specify below:	

3. Have you used the above to develop lesson plans? If so, please use the space below or the back side of this survey to provide a <u>brief</u> description.

4. Consider the course topics mentioned in Question #1. What are *your* learning goals for this course?

STUDENT BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

Please Print <u>clearly!</u>

Name:		
E-mail address:		
Home phone:	Work phone:	
Home address:		
GMU Program:	Academic Advisor	
Year admitted:	Expected completion year	
Currently teaching?	_ If yes, where, what, and for how long?	
Level(s) of proficiency	rite Where?	
Career goals:		
What you hope to gain from th class:	is	
Favorite leisure/pastime activities:		